# 74 Lythrum salicaria L., Purple Loosestrife (Lythraceae)

C.J. Lindgren, J. Corrigan and R.A. De Clerck-Floate

#### Pest Status

Purple loosestrife, Lythrum salicaria L., is a Eurasian wetland perennial, likely introduced to North America in the early 1800s (Thompson et al., 1987). Cultivated varieties of L. salicaria, developed as early as 1937 (Harp and Collicut, 1983), have been widely used across North America by gardeners and landscapers and have further contributed to its spread (Ottenbreit, 1991; Lindgren and Clay, 1993). L. salicaria is capable of forming continuous stands that can displace native vegetation, which provides food, cover and breeding areas for wildlife. Thompson et al. (1987) estimated that controlling this plant across the invaded wetlands of 19 American states would cost US\$45.9 million per year.

L. solicaria has invaded every Canadian province (White et al., 1993). In British Columbia, it can be found along the Fraser River, lona Island. Westham Island. Vancouver Island, Jericho Park (Vancouver), the Ladner Marsh, the Okanagan Valley, Chilliwack and Nelson (Myers and Denoth, 1999). In Alberta, the first infestation was reported in 1990 near Medicine Hat. Ali and Verbeek (1999) reported more than 315,000 plants in 1994 and infestations in as many as 185 individual wetlands in 1999. In Saskatchewan, L. salicaria is found mostly in urban settings, e.g. Saskatoon, Moose Jaw, Regina, Swift Current and Yorkton (A. Salzl, Saskatoon, personal communication). Manitoba, L. salicaria was first reported in 1896, and has since spread to every major river system in southern Manitoba, with

large infestations in the south basins of lakes Winnipeg and Manitoba. In Ontario, L. salicaria has a long history of residency (100+ years), and many extensive populations are established south of the 49th parallel (White et al., 1993). In Quebec, large populations exist in the Eastern Townships, and along the lower Ottawa and St Lawrence River valleys (White et al., 1993). Although L. salicaria has been present in Quebec since the 1800s, farmers became concerned in 1949 when loosestrife began replacing forage crops in riparian pastures (Templeton and Stewart, 1999). In New Brunswick, L. salicaria is a concern in most of the lower marsh in the Saint John flood plain. Prior to the 1960s, botanical surveys revealed none in this region (J. Wile, Amherst, 1999, personal communication). In Nova Scotia, L. salicaria is widespread. with large infestations reported on Cape Breton and on the mainland [G. Sampson, Truro, 1999, personal communication). In Prince Edward Island, L. salicaria can be found throughout the province, with larger infestations found around larger towns and villages. It is also present in salt marshes on the upper Hillsborough River [T. Duffy, Charlottetown, 2000, personal communication). In Newfoundland, L. salicaria is present in western, central and eastern regions of the island. However, its distribution is patchy and it is not common anywhere. L. salicatia has not been recorded from Labrador (P. Dixon, St John's, 2000, personal communication).

L. salicaria, including all cultivated varieties, has been designated a noxious weed in Prince Edward Island (1991), Alberta

384 Chapter 74

(1992) and Manitoba (1996). Provincial working groups formed to combat this weed include the Alberta Purple Loosestrife Eradication Program, Saskatchewan Purple Loosestrife Eradication Project, the Manitoba Purple Loosestrife Project and Project Purple in Ontario.

# Background

Malecki et al. (1993) stated: 'No effective method is available to control L. salicaria, except where it occurs in small localized stands and can be intensively managed.' Control methods attempted include waterlevel manipulation, physical removal, mowing, burning and herbicide application, but these are costly, localized and short-term. Biological control represents the only option, given the geographical and temporal scales of the problem (Malecki et al., 1993).

# **Biological Control Agents**

#### Insects

Diehl et al. (1997) collected 51 species of resident herbivorous insects on L. salicaria in Manitoba, but concluded that they are not effective in reducing its density there. Based on the history of the spread of this plant across Canada (White et al., 1993), we believe this conclusion applies nationally.

In Europe, over 100 species of phytophagous insects have been associated with
L. salicaria (Batra et al., 1986). De ClerckFloate (1992) recommended that the
European root-mining weevil, Hylobias
transversovitlatus (Goeze), and the leaf
beetles, Galerucella calmariensis L. and
Galerucella pusilia Duftschmid, be released
against L. salicaria. These agents have narrow host ranges, climatic origins compatible
with those of Canada, and potential for causing extensive damage to L. salicaria, These
three species were approved for release in
1992. Two other European weevils,

Nanophyes mannoratus Goeze and Nanophyes brevis Boheman, were approved for release in 1994. Releases of four of the agents were made in Canada from 1992 to 1999. European screening prior to agent importation revealed populations of N. brevis to be infected with an unidentified nematode, so this agent was not released in Canada.

H. transversovittatus adults are mainly nocturnal, feed on foliage and stem tissue, and can live for several years (Blossey, 1993). Eggs are laid into the lower part of the main shoot or on to the root, with larval development taking 1-2 years. In the field, long wet periods will delay larval development.

G. calmariensis and G. pusilla adults emerge from winter diapause in late May to early June and begin feeding on young foliage. Oviposition begins in early June and peaks about mid-June, Larvae feed on shoot tips, foliage and flowers. Peak numbers of larvae occur from late June to early July. Mature larvae pupate in soil around the host plants. First-generation adults occur in August, and in some years well into October, A second generation has been observed in British Columbia, Manitoba and Ontario.

N. marmoratus is univoltine. In Europe, overwintered adults start feeding on young foliage in late May, moving to the upper parts of flower spikes to feed on unopened flowers as flower buds develop (Blossey and Schroeder, 1995). Eggs are laid from June to September, with the female usually depositing one egg into the tip of a young flower bud. Larvae consume the stamens and ovary; attacked buds do not flower and are aborted. New-generation adults appear in August, feeding on foliage prior to overwintering.

## Releases and Recoveries

Biological control programmes have been initiated in every province except Newfoundland. A summary of releases is given in Table 74.1.

<sup>1</sup>Starter populations of *H. transversovittatus, G. calmariensis* and *G. pusilla* were obtained from Europe via the USA in 1992 and reared at the University of Guelph and the Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Lethbridge Research Centre for initial Canadian distribution.

Table 74.1. Known liberations of biological control agents against *Lythrum salicaria* in Canada, 1992–1999. Total number of each species released is followed by life stage (\*, adult; \*, larva; \*\*, pupa; \*\*, eggs) and (number of releases).

Province	Year	Galerucella calmaciensis L.	Galerucella pusilla Duftschmid	Galericella	Hylobius transversovittatus Goeze	Nanophyes marmoratus Goeze	Total
British Columbia	1993	1308 <sup>AL</sup> (7)					1300
	1994	1430 <sup>A</sup> (4)	400* (2)		180 <sup>E.L</sup> (1)		1308
	1995	1218 <sup>A</sup> (4)	400 121	475^(1)	100 (1)		1693
	1996	453^(2)		4.5.10			456
	1997	3550 <sup>A</sup> (12)	150 <sup>A</sup> (1)				3700
	1998	8888 11.47	1942.517	1005 (1)			100
	1999			133*(2)			133
Alberta	1993	388A(2)		133			388
	1994	1005 (1)					100
	1996	DESCRIPTION OF		75 <sup>A</sup> (1)			75
	1997			175^(1)			175
	1998			200 <sup>A</sup> (2)			200
Saskatchewan	1999	5150 <sup>A</sup> (4)					5150
Manitoba	1992				401 (1)		40
	1993	1981AL (6)	366* (2)		N. STORY OF THE P.		23.47
	1994	10374 (12)	448 <sup>X</sup> (6)		1405 (1)		1625
	1995	58834 (12)	-200V-99.		1500 (3)		73.83
	1996	76505 (15)			550 <sup>E</sup> (1)		8200
	1997	32,500 <sup>A</sup> (15)			1600E(5)	720 <sup>A</sup> (3)	34,820
	1998	50,750 <sup>A</sup> (15)					50,750
	1999	57,190% (28)			1105 (1)		57,300
Ontario	1992			2800 (6)	250007270		2800
	1993			15,700^ (50)	300 <sup>L</sup> (2)		16,000
	1994			22,100 <sup>A</sup> (38)	553 <sup>L</sup> (1)		22,653
	1995			30,600* (45)			30,600
	1996			27,950 <sup>AL</sup> (27)			27,950
	1997		- 1	18,965 AJJP (5)	5)		18,965
	1998			80,000 <sup>L</sup> (16):			80,000
	1999			90,0001 (12)			90,000
Quebec	1996	1200*(2)	1200 <sup>A</sup> (2)				2400
	1997			(8) <sup>1,4</sup> 0008			8000
	1998			2000 (3)			2000
Nova Scotia	1994			1004(1)	1891 (2)		289
	1995			300*(1)			300
	1996			9754(1)			975
	1997			4600 <sup>A</sup> (4)			4600
	1998			31,000^1 (4)			31,000
	1999			00,000(3)		11	00,000
New Brunswick	1993	1997118	1485 (1)				148
	1994	990^(2)	250* (2)				1240
	1999	1000* (2)	8004 (2)				1800
	1996			500* (2)			500
	1997			3600*(2)			3600
	1998			20,000^ (5)			000,03
AND THE THE	1999	March 1	20	77,000-(5)			77,000
Prince Edward Island	1993	3904 (4)	950^(5)				1340
	1994	150^					150
	1996			1400 <sup>A</sup> (4)			1400
	1997			2300^(2)			2300
	1998			20,000 <sup>L,P</sup> (9)		- 85	000,00
	1999			50,000 <sup>A</sup> (6)			50,000
Grand total 1992-	1999						5,963

H. transversovittatus has been released in British Columbia, Alberta, Manitoba, Ontario and Nova Scotia, At Iona, British Columbia, it is believed that the weevil did not establish due to high tides. In Alberta, larvae were released (within roots of transplanted plants) in 1994 in an open garden plot at Lethbridge, and adults were recovered in 1998 and 1999. In Manitoba, H. transversovittatus was released in October, 1992, in the Spruce Woods/Cypress area. Larvae overwintered but no adult weevils have been found to date. In 1996, eggs implanted into cut stems developed and adults were found in 1999. Adults obtained from Cornell University also were released in Manitoba in 1999, near the Libau Marsh. In Ontario, H. transversovittatus was released in 1993 and 1994. Releases were discontinued after 1994 because the species was difficult and expensive to rear. It did not establish at any of the Ontario release sites. In Nova Scotia, the status of H. transversovitattus, released as larvae in 1994, is uncertain.

N. marmoratus adults were released<sup>2</sup> in 1997 in the Libau Marsh, Manitoba. The population successfully overwintered and reproduced in 1998.

Portions of the initial European importations of G. calmariensis and G. pusilla were distributed to programmes in Alberta, Manitoba and Ontario in 1992 (Hight et al., 1995). All subsequent Canadian releases of these two species are descended from these populations.

In British Columbia, releases were done annually from 1993 to 1999, with both Galerucella spp. being released at 37 sites. It is estimated that 50 to 83% of these have established (R. Cranston, Abbotsford, 1999, personal communication). In Alberta, at one of the three original (1993–1994) release sites near Lethbridge, the beetles established along one side of Gaeol Lake. Releases of Galerucella spp. were made at Fort Macleod from 1996 to 1998. Establishment has been confirmed there

but beetle numbers are low. The Saskatchewan Purple Loosestrife Eradication Project obtained G. calmariensis brood stock (from Manitoba) in 1999 and began mass rearing and releases near Saskatoon and Moose Jaw.

In Manitoba, initial releases of Golerucella occurred in 1993. The Manitoba Purple Loosestrife Program has mass-reared G. calmariensis from 1994 to 1999, and released this species at over 100 sites from 1993 to 1999. G. pusilla was released at eight Manitoba sites in 1993–1994. In an effort to increase agent production, a satellite mass-rearing project was initiated in 1999, involving local stakeholder groups, e.g. the Manitoba Weed Supervisors Association, to rear and release G. calmariensis in their local areas.

In Ontario, initial releases of Galerucella adults were made at the Speed River, Guelph, in 1992. From 1993 to mid-1996, laboratory-reared Galerucella spp. were released at 151 sites into the following general areas: the Grand River watershed Kitchener-Waterloo around Cambridge, several wetlands in the Mississauga-Burlington area, the Lake St Clair-Detroit River area, the Niagara region, around the lower Bruce Peninsula, the lower Trent watershed, and the Rideau valley watershed. After mid-1996, all Ontario releases were done by redistributing adults and larvae collected from wellestablished field populations containing both species. In 1996-1997, releases were concentrated in the Grand River watershed as part of a watershed-wide management plan. After termination of the Ontario Program in 1997 (due to lack of funding), a private company continued to make releases with field-collected larvae of both species in 1998 and 1999.

In Quebec, initial releases of adult Galerucella spp. in 1996 were along the St Lawrence River and rivers in the Outaouais region, but no establishment occurred (Templeton and Stewart, 1999). In 1997,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Manitoba Purple Loosestrife Project partnered with Cornell University and the Minnesota Purple Loosestrife Program in autumn, 1996, to collect and import N. marmoratus and N. brevis from Europe.

Chapter 74 387

adults and larvae, and, in 1998, larvae were released at Lac St François National Wildlife Reserve, near Nicolet, in Hull near the Champlain bridge, and at Cap Tourmente National Wildlife Reserve, In spring, 1998, overwintered adults were found at these release sites (Templeton and Stewart, 1999).

In the Maritimes, Galerucella spp. were released from 1993 to 1999 at 23 sites in New Brunswick, by the provincial Department of Agriculture and Rural Development and Ducks Unlimited Canada. The Nova Scotia Agricultural College reared and released beetles from 1994 to 1999. They have established at over 50 sites (G. Sampson, Truro, 1999, communication). In Prince personal Edward Island, beetles have been released at 31 sites since 1993, including Bothwell, Souris, Stratford and Southport (J. Stewart, Charlottetown, 1999, personal communication), and have established at most release sites. From 1997 to 1999, release programmes were intensified in the three Maritime provinces, with over 300,000 Galeracella spp. being released at 39 sites.

#### Evaluation of Biological Control

The biological control programme against L. salicaria appears to be developing into a major success. Based upon initial data and observations from across Canada (and the USA), it is apparent that the Galerucella spp. alone may be able to effectively control L. salicaria in a variety of habitats. In the following discussion, 'control' is considered to mean: (i) over 95% suppression of L. salicaria biomass; (ii) over 99% suppression of flowering and seed production; and (iii) substantial replacement of L. salicaria with other plant species.

In British Columbia, herbivory damage by G, calmariensis released near Chilliwack and at Jericho Park in 1999 was estimated at 90–100% (Myers and Denoth, 1999). In Alberta, populations of L. salicaria were suppressed along one side of Gaeol Lake as a result of G, calmariensis releases in 1993 and, by 1998, the beetles had dispersed across the lake and established in a new L. salicaria stand. In Nova Scotia, G. calmariensis had reduced flowering by 80–90% in at least one release site in 1999 (G. Sampson, Truro, 1999, personal communication).

Results from Canada's two largest provincial programmes merit further discussion. In Manitoba, close to 100% control of L. salicaria has been achieved at many release sites, including Delta Marsh, areas within the Libau Marsh, Winnipeg River at Great Falls, Red Rock Lake in the Whiteshell, along Highway #317, and sites in the City of Winnipeg. Fixed monitoring stations were established at two release sites in the Libau Marsh and one site in the Delta Marsh, with data collected from 30 randomly tagged stems per site at 10-day intervals from late spring to early autumn. Populations of G. calmariensis increased significantly in the third (Delta), fourth or fifth years (Libau sites) after release. In the Libau Marsh, herbivory resulted in all stems being destroyed between 5 and 6 years after release of G. calmariensis. The Delta Marsh received the fewest heetles (250), with all L. salicaria stems being destroyed by mid-July of each year since 3 years after release. Within a year of explosion of beetle populations, high levels of herbivory resulted in death of all stems at these sites by July to early August, To obtain significant control of L. salicaria in Manitoba, Galerucella egg densities approaching 600 eggs m-2 need to be attained (Diehl, 1999). At the Delta Marsh site. Diehl (1999) reported a 2537% increase in the number of eggs m-2 between the second and third years after release, This resulted in a reduction in numbers of stems from 32 to 0 m-2, Diehl (1999) also reported that there was no difference in overwintering survival between the two Galerucella spp., that both can tolerate prolonged periods of spring flooding, and that initial dispersal was largely limited to within 5 m of the point of release. An integrated vegetation management strategy is being developed in Manitoba, integrating G. calmariensis with herbicide applications (Lindgren et al., 1998, 1999).

388 Chapter 74

Integration of herbicide use with beetles resulted in the most effective suppression of L. salicaria stem densities. In herbicidealone trials, stem densities at the end of the study were greater than before treatment (Henne, 2000).

In Ontario, large populations of the two Galerucella spp. (>50 egg masses m<sup>-2</sup>) were beginning to control L. salicaria by 1995 at three of the initial (1992-1993) release sites. By 1999, L. salicaria was under control in seven areas of southern Ontario. Densities of 300-600 egg masses m-2 have been found in all these areas, and these sites were virtually unrecognizable as L. salicaria infestations by 1999 (Bowen, 1998), Effective beetle populations are established in most of the heavily infested areas of southern Ontario, including the Detroit River below Windsor, the western end of Lake Ontario (Bowen, 1998), through much of the Grand River watershed, the Sydenham River in Owen Sound, the Otonabee River in Peterborough, and the Rideau River watershed. Beetles have spread from several release sites (Grand River, Speed River, Etobicoke Creek and Lake Ontario) to occupy at least 100 km of shoreline. The rate of spread is estimated to be 5-10 km year-1 from the best release sites. A comprehensive watershed-wide control strategy, initiated in 1996 by the Grand River Watershed Management Plan for Purple Loosestrife, was highly successful. It is anticipated that control of L. salicaria will be achieved through most of this watershed in the next 5-10 years. Beetles continue to spread in Ontario, and we believe that they will eventually be found in all of the L. salicaria populations in the province.

Of the biological control agents available for L. salicaria, G. calmariensis has proved highly reproductive, easy to massrear, effective and has been the most widely released agent across Canada. Monitoring indicates an L. salicaria—G. calmariensis interaction model as follows: significant increases in the G. calmarienis population occur as early as the third or fourth year after release, followed by suppression or elimination of L. salicaria sex-

ual reproduction, a decline in overall stem height, a reduction in stem number and, finally, a change in the G. calmariensis population growth curve from positive to negative as L. salicaria is suppressed (Lindgren, 2000). Observations from Ontario further suggest that G. calmariensis and G. pusilla can coexist and provide effective weed control. At the Ontario sites, the Galerucella spp. were released less than 1 km from each other. Populations of the two species subsequently overlapped within 2 years. The coalescence of the two Galerucella species at these sites promoted both control and rapid, long-distance dispersal from the original release sites. Finally, in Ontario, effective redistribution of Galerucella spp. from successful field sites has been done, with a high rate of establishment and weed control.

Limited feeding by G. calmariensis was observed on the native, non-target species Lythrum alatum Pursh and Decodon verticillatus (L.) Elliott at the Royal Botanical Gardens in Burlington, Ontario (Corrigan et al., 1998). Both of these had been attacked in 'no-choice' host-specificity testing prior to beetle importation into North America (Kok et al., 1992). We believe that the feeding observed at the Botanical Gardens is a short-term, spillover effect, and that these species are not at long-term risk from the biological control agents (Corrigan et al., 1998). The impact of L salicaria on two endangered plant species, Sidalcea hendersonii Watson and Caltha palustris L., is also under investigation in British Columbia (Myers and Denoth, 1999).

Historically, biological control programmes targeted agricultural weeds. Because L. salicaria is a weed of aquatic habitats, it has resulted in new audiences being introduced to biological control of weeds (Blossey et al., 1996). To build support, it is essential that programme objectives and results be communicated to them. The importance of fostering community awareness and involving community partners cannot be overlooked, especially for weeds invading natural areas.

The effort to control L. salicaria has been immense, with the involvement of numerous stakeholder groups and contributions from a equally large number of funding agencies across Canada. While L. salicaria is an exotic species recognized as a primary invader of natural habitats (White et al., 1993), it is unfortunate that programme funding has restricted and, in some cases, eliminated provincial biological weed control initiatives. Despite the encouraging control results so far, it may be premature to restrict our biological control toolbox to only the Galeracella spp. Long-term funding (15–20 years) is needed to further the biological control efforts against L. salicaria.

## Recommendations

Further work should include:

Assessing the establishment and performance of H. transversovittatus and N. marmoratus;

- ous stakeholder groups and contributions 2. Long-term monitoring of the biological from a equally large number of funding control agents and associated changes in L. agencies across Canada. While L. salicaria is salicaria populations;
  - Documenting the response of native plant communities;
  - Further developing integrated vegetation management strategies.

# Acknowledgements

J. Meyers, M. Denoth, R. Cranston, S. Ali, C. Verbeek, A. Salzl, J. Diehl, G. Sampson, J. Wile, T. Duffy, J. Stewart, K. Templeton, J. Laing, D. Mackenzie, K. McCully, R. Langevin and B. Blossey provided important information. G. Lee initiated the Canadian programme development. Canadian efforts would not have been possible without the screening and host-specificity testing conducted by American and European cooperators.

# References

- Ali, S. and Verbeek, C. (1999) The Alberta Purple Loosestrife Eradication Program 1999 Status Report, Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development, Edmonton, Alberta.
- Batra, S.W.T., Schroeder, D., Boldt, P.E. and Mendl, W. (1986) Insects associated with purple loosestrife (Lythrum salicaria) in Europe. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 88, 748–759.
- Blossey, B. (1993) Herbivory below ground and biological weed control: life history of a root-bering weevil on purple loosestrife. Oecologia 94, 380–387.
- Blossey, B. and Schroeder, D. (1995) Host specificity of three potential biological weed control agents attacking flowers and seeds of Lythrum salicaria (Purple Loosestrife). Biological Control 5, 47, 52
- Blossey, B., Malecki, R.A., Schroeder, D. and Skinner, L. (1996) A biological weed control programme using insects against purple loosestrife, Lythrum sulicaria, in North America. In: Moran, V.C. and Hoffmann, J.H. (eds.) Proceedings of the IX International Symposium on Biological Control of Weeds, 19–26 January 1996, Stellenbosch, South Africa, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa, pp. 351–355.
- Bowen, K. (1998) Beetles offer hope for purple loosestrife control. Pappus 17, 21-27.
- Carrigan, J.E., MacKenzie, D.L. and Simser, L. (1998) Field observations of non-target feeding by Galenicella calmariensis [Coleopters: Chrysomelidae], an introduced biological control agent of purple loosestrife, Lythrum salicaria [Lythracese]. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Ontario 129, 99-106.
- De Clerck-Floate, R. (1992) The Desirability of Using Biocontrol Against Purple Loosestrife in Canada. Agriculture Canada, Lethbridge, Alberta.
- Diehl, J.K. (1999) Biological control of purple loosestrife, Lythrum sulicaria L. (Lythraceae) with Galerucella spp. (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae): dispersal, population change, overwintering ability, and predation of the beetles, and impact on the plant in southern Manitoba wetland release sites. MSc thesis. University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba.
- Diehl, J.K., Holliday, N.J., Lindgren, C.J. and Roughley, R.E. (1997) Insects associated with purple loosestrife, Lythrum sulicaria L., in southern Manitoba, The Canadian Entomologist 129, 937-948.

- Harp, H.F. and Collicutt, L.M. (1983) Lythrums for Home Gardens. Publication 1285E, Communications Branch, Agriculture Canada, Ottawa, Ontario.
- Henne, D.C. (2000) Evaluation of an integrated management approach for the control of purple loosestrife, Lythrum salicaria L., in southern Manitoba; hiological control and herbicides. MSc thesis, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba.
- Hight, S.D., Blossey, B., Laing, J. and De Clerck-Floate, R. (1995) Establishment of insect biological control agents from Europe against Lythrum solicaria in North America. Environmental Entomology 24, 967–977.
- Kok, L.T., McAvoy, T.J., Malecki, R.A., Hight, S.D., Drea, J.J. and Coulson, J.R. (1992) Host specificity tests of Galerucella calmariensis (L.) and G. pusilla (Duft.) (Coleopters: Chrysomelidae), potential biological control agents of purple loosestrife, Lythram salicaria L. (Lythraceae). Biological Control 2, 282–290.
- Lindgren, C.J. (2000) Performance of a biological control agent, Galeracella calmariensis L. (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) on Purple Loosestrife Lythrum salicaria 1. in southern Manitoba (1993–1998), In: Spencer, N.R. (ed.) Proceedings of the X International Symposium on Biological Control of Weeds, 4–14 July 1999, Bozeman, Montana USA. Montana State University. Bozeman, Montana, pp. 367–382.
- Lindgren, C.J. and Clay, R.T. (1993) Fertility of 'Morden Pink' Lythram virgotum in Manitoba. HortScience 28, 954.
- Lindgren, C.J., Gabor, T.S. and Murkin, H.R. (1998) Impact of triclopyr amine on Galerucella calmariensis L. (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) and a step toward integrated management of purple lossestrife Lythrum salicaria L. Biological Control 12, 14–19.
- Lindgren, C.J., Gabor, T.S. and Murkin, H.R. (1999) Compatibility of glyphosate with Galerucella calmariensis; a biological control agent for purple leosestrife (Lythrum salicaria). Journal of Aquatic Plant Management 37, 44–48.
- Malecki, R.A., Blossey, B., Hight, S.D., Schroder, D., Kok, L.T. and Coulson, J.R. (1993) Biological control of purple lossestrife. BioScience 43, 680–686.
- Myers, J. and Denoth, M. (1999) Endangered Species Recovery Fund Report, 31 November, 1999. University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia.
- Ottenbreit, K. (1991) The distribution, reproductive biology, and morphology of Lythrum species, hybrids and cultivars in Manitoba. MSc thesis, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba.
- Templeton, K. and Stewart, R.K. (1999) Pilot Project on the Biological Control of Purple Loosestrife in Quebec, MacDonald College, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canadian Wildlife Service and Ontario Royal Botanical Gardens.
- Thompson, D.Q., Stuckey, R.L. and Thompson, E.B. (1987) Spread impact and control of purple loosestrife (Lythrum salicaria) in North American wetlands. United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Fish Wildlife Research 2, 1–55.
- White, D.J., Haber, E. and Keddy, C. (1993) Invasive Plants of Natural Habitats in Canada. Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Ottawa, Ontario.

# PROGRAMMES IN CANADA, 1981–2000









**EDITED BY P.G. MASON AND J.T. HUBER** 

